Cheshire East Council

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM

Equality impact assessment is a legal requirement for all strategies, plans, functions, policies, procedures and services under the Equalities Act 2010. We are also legally required to publish assessments.

Section 1: Description

Department	Places		Lead officer respo	nsible for assessment	Chris Williams	Chris Williams	
Service	assessment		Jenny Marston Janet Mills Neil Roberts				
Date	3 September 2012		Version		2.0		
Type of document (mark as appropriate)	Strategy	Plan	Function	Policy	Procedure	Service	
Is this a new/existing/revision of an existing document (mark as appropriate)	Ne	w	E	kisting	Revision		
Title and subject of the impact assessment (include a brief description of the aims, outcomes, operational issues as appropriate and how it fits in with the wider aims of the organisation) Please attach a copy of the strategy/plan/function/policy/procedure/service	The budget proposic criteria (agreed by oneeds of the council within budget constitutions). Council's Local Trandischarge the council the proposal is to woonsidered "low principles."	reductions in public transport support t proposals anticipate a reduction in funding devoted to subsidising public transport. The adopted support greed by Cabinet on 1 st August 2011) target the support at those services deemed to most meet the strategone council. The criteria aim to provide a fair, transparent and accountable process to manage contracts alget constraints, provide maximum value for money and support wider strategic considerations in the local Transport Plan. These criteria contain specific reference to the needs of older and disabled residents the council's obligations under the Transport Act 1985. sal is to withdraw support for certain services, which when assessed against the adopted criteria, are d'low priority" relative to other supported services. The majority of bus services in Cheshire East are commercially by local bus operators without subsidy from the Council and these services are largely				neet the strategic ge contracts ions in the abled residents to diteria, are the East are	
Who are the main stakeholders? (eg general public, employees, Councillors, partners, specific audiences)	Transport users, including disabled users, older people, young people. Press & media, businesses, service providers (e.g. health care). Public transport operators						



Section 2: Initial screening

Who is affected?			All residents of Cheshire Eas	st – subsidise	d bus services are availabl	e to all and	d there	fore potentially all elements	of the	
(This may or may not include the	he		community are affected.							
stakeholders listed above)										
Who is intended to benefit and	how?)	The proposal aims to deliver	r a balanced	budget in 2012/13 by redu	ıcing subsi	dy for _l	public bus services. The key l	peneficia	ry is
			therefore the local taxpayer	r.						
Could there be a different impa	act or		Yes – The impact on older p	eople, disabl	ed people and low income	families r	nay pre	event access to work and key	services ·	_
outcome for some groups?			even with mitigation.							
_	Does it include making decisions based No									
on individual characteristics, no circumstances?	eeds o	r								
Are relations between differen	t grou	ps	Following the Council's with	ndrawal of su	bsidy, if bus operators dec	ide to stop	o opera	ting the service this is inevita	ıbly an	
or communities likely to be aff	ected?)	unpopular and unwelcome	development	t which may impact on rela	ations bet	veen lo	ocal communities, as well as b	etween	the
(eg will it favour one particular	group	or	Council and communities. It	t has the pot	ential to disadvantage son	ne groups	more t	han others – eg frail older pe	ople, disa	abled
deny opportunities for others?	')		residents.							
Is there any specific targeted a	ction t	0	A higher proportion of publi	ic transport ι	isers in Cheshire East, tend	d to be old	er peop	ole, younger people, have a li	fe long	
promote equality? Is there a hi	istory o	of	limiting illness or disability of	or are low ind	come. To assist targeted gr	oups, it is	propos	ed to procure community/fle	xible tra	nsport
unequal outcomes (do you hav	e enou	ugh		•		_		aim of the new flexible trans		
evidence to prove otherwise)?				ents living in a	areas where there is no fix	ed public t	ranspo	ort will be able to access flexil	ole transp	port 1
			or 2 days a week.							
Is there an actual or potential i	negativ	e im	pact on these specific charact	eristics? (Pl	ease tick)					
Age			Marriage & civil		Religion & belief			Carers	Υ	
,	Y		partnership	N		Υ				
			partitership							
Disability	Y		Pregnancy & maternity	N	Sex	Υ		Socio-economic status	Y	
Gender reassignment		N	Race	N	Sexual orientation		N			



What evidence d include as appen	Consultation/involvement carried out			
In order to get a better understanding of the impacts of the withdrawal of the support for certain services a full and detailed consultation process was carried out between April and June 2012. The aim of the consultation was to fully understand the impact of the withdrawal of the subsidy on public transport with the local community and particularly with protected equality groups.				
by emailing or wr one of the consul detailed report of	Individuals and organisations were able to respond to the consultation by either completing an 'on-line' questionnaire, a paper questionnaire, by emailing or writing to the Council. Surveys were available from all Cheshire East libraries and main offices of the Council or by attending one of the consultation 'drop-in' events held in 10 locations around the borough. Over 1,600 people responded to the consultation, and a detailed report on the consultation feedback is available. In addition to the consultation, a further focus group was held to drill down into the impacts on the older population and disability groups.			
Age	The consultation suggests that the age profile of bus users does not match the age profile of the general population. 60% were aged 65+ including 26% aged 75+, whereas the overall profile in Cheshire East is that 24% of the adult population are aged 65+ including 11% aged 75+. Older respondents used buses to access services with many mentioning they shopped regularly to avoid carrying heavy bags. There was concern, mainly among older respondents, about the difficulty in making medical appointments to fit in with bus services. A concern for many older respondents was that they would be isolated and that a regular bus service was their lifeline. The consultation showed that older and younger age groups are less likely to have constant access to their own transport. A high proportion of younger respondents relied on bus services to get to their place of work, several mentioning that shift work meant that they needed to use early and late services.	Yes		
Disability	45% of respondents had a long standing illness, disability or infirmity and over four in five of these people said it limited their activities in some way. The focus group discussed that a major concern for the disabled was the fear of isolation and inability to get out of their house. Finally, particular issues surrounding specific disabilities were raised – such as blind and partially sighted people find it difficult or impossible to use some forms of transport such as general public transport. For such people, flexible transport	Yes		



	(with its associated level of additional assist	ance from drivers) was considere	ed to be more suitable.	
Gender reassignment	This policy is not expected to impact on ger		N/A	
Marriage & civil partnership	This policy is not expected to impact on ma	rriage & civil partnership		N/A
Pregnancy & maternity	This policy is not expected to impact on pre	gnancy and maternity		N/A
Race	This policy is not expected to impact on rac	e.		N/A
Religion & belief	This policy has a marginal impact since the other religious groups.	withdrawal of support for Sunday	services may affect worshippers more than	N/A
Sex	National data suggests that more women u showed that 39% of respondents were make population which are 49% and 51% respect	e and 61% female, a higher propo	Public Transport consultation survey analysis ortion of females than found in the general	Yes
Sexual orientation	This policy is not expected to impact on sexual orientation			
Carers	This policy is not expected to impact on car	ers		Yes
Socio-economic status	The consultation showed that the majority employed either full, part-time or self empl Over half (56%) had access to a car either the considerably lower than the 82% of househ Population data. This left 44% (528 individuals	oyed. nemselves or by someone else in olds in Cheshire East who had ac		N/A
Proceed to full in	npact assessment? (Please tick) Yes		Date -	

If yes, please proceed to Section 3. If no, please publish the initial screening as part of the suite of documents relating to this issue



Section 3: Identifying impacts and evidence

This section identifies if there are impacts on equality, diversity and cohesion, what evidence there is to support the conclusion and what further action is needed

Protected	Is the policy (function etc) likely to have	Are there any positive impacts of	Please rate the impact	Further action
characteristics	an adverse impact on any of the groups?	the policy (function etc) on any of	taking into account any	(only an outline needs to be
		the groups?	measures already in place to	included here. A full action plan
	Please include evidence (qualitative &		reduce the impacts	can be included at Section 4)
	quantitative) and consultations	Please include evidence (qualitative	identified	
		& quantitative) and consultations	High: Significant potential impact;	
			history of complaints; no mitigating measures in place; need for	
			consultation	
			Medium: Some potential impact;	
			some mitigating measures in place, lack of evidence to show effectiveness	
			of measures	
			LOW: Little/no identified impacts;	
			heavily legislation-led; limited public facing aspect	
Age	Yes –	Concessionary fare data has been	High	The responses from the
	The profile of public transport users	incorporated into the Council's		consultation and focus groups have
	includes a significant proportion of older	support criteria and those services		helped shape the development of a
	and young people. The consultation	carrying a high proportion (+50%) of		strategy and assist with forming the
	feedback report contains further details.	older and disabled people score		mitigation measures with the aim
		more highly in the assessment –		to reduce the impact of the changes
	Withdrawals of Council support for certain	resulting in greatly likelihood		in subsidies. Specifically:
	services may result in bus services ceasing	support continues.		 Design and specification of
	to operate or operating in a different way,			flexible demand responsive
	which may have a disproportionate impact	The existing concessionary fare		transport
	on older people and young people.	scheme allows people over the age		 Availability of alternative
		of 65 to use public transport for free		suitable public transport
		after the 9.30 am. Concessionary		and minor route or
		fare pass can be used on all		timetable amendments



		commercial bus services (which cover about 90% of all public service busses in Cheshire East) as well as supported bus services Flexible transport is available free to all concessionary pass holders. Flexible demand-responsive transport is targeted at older (especially frail) people.		
Disability	Yes –. The proposed budget reductions may adversely impact on disabled transport users, as revealed during the consultation process and contained in the consultation feedback report.	The services which carry a high proportion of concessionary fare passengers are scored more highly in the assessment – resulting in mitigation of some potential impacts for disabled people. Approximately 10% of concessionaires qualify by way of a disability, with 90% of usage based on age. Continuation of (and enhancement of) flexible transport is seemed as a key way of mitigating adverse impacts and promoting equality.	High	Continuation of engagement with groups representative of disabled people is seen as key to further actions to mitigate adverse impacts and promote equality. Specifically, the design and operation of flexible demand responsive services is a future action that will mitigate any adverse impacts. Following comments in the consultation process from the Deafness Support society, the council will consider a text and email service to assist deaf people access flexible transport.



				In addition to flexible transport, the council will consider additional support for other forms of community transport, these being: • Shop mobility • Voluntary car schemes The council is also working with the Iris Centre, to ensure that the transport needs of blind and partially sighted people are represented and services designed to meet their specific needs.
Gender reassignment	No	No	Low	
Marriage & civil partnership	No	No	Low	
Pregnancy and maternity	No	No	Low	
Race	No	No	Low	
Religion & belief	No	No	Low	



Sex	Yes – Nationally public bus services are generally used more by women than by men – consequently any reduction in bus service provision may have a greater effect on women.	No	Low	No further action is possible to mitigate impacts of subsidy reduction.
Sexual orientation	No	No	Low	
Carers	Yes – Carers of older and disabled people are more likely to be users of public transport. The criteria considers the needs of older and disabled people, this in turn assists in mitigating the impact on carers.	No	Low	Consideration be given to companion bus passes for carers of people with disabilities. In addition, engagement with representative groups is likely to identify specific needs and allow services to be redesigned around these needs.
Socio-	The proposal is likely to have an impact on	No	Medium	
economics	some socio-economic groups, especially low paid, unemployed, pensioners and large families who are more likely to be users of public transport.			

Is this project due to be carried out wholly or partly by contractors? If yes, please indicate how you have ensured that the partner organisation complies with equality legislation (e.g. tendering, awards process, contract, monitoring and performance measures)

Cheshire East Council

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM

Section 4: Review and conclusion

Summary: provide a brief overview including impact, changes, improvement, any gaps in evidence and additional data that is needed

The impact on older people, disabled people and low income families may prevent access to work and key services – even with mitigation. The full impact of the proposed budget reductions will be determined as a result of detailed consultation. The Council will continue to work with specific groups and focus groups to monitor the impact of the withdrawal of support for certain bus services

Specific actions to be taken to reduce, justify or	How will this be monitored?	Officer responsible	Target date
remove any adverse impacts			
Discussions with operators for opportunities for supported services to become commercial, hence no or reduced impact on bus users	Routes where support is no longer offered will be brought to the notice of the public transport sector; registrations received	Chris Williams	1 January 2013
Engagement with groups representative of older and disabled people to inform design and operation of demand responsive transport	Ongoing	Jenny Marston	Ongoing
Procurement of revised flexible demand- responsive transport service	Senior Management monthly team meetings	Chris Williams	31 March 2013
Support for local community transport schemes including flexible transport and voluntary cars	Discussions with users, providers etc.	Neil Roberts	Ongoing
When will this assessment be reviewed?	31 March 2013		·
Are there any additional assessments that need to be undertaken in relation to this assessment?	No		



Lead officer signoff	Chris Williams	Date	3 September 2012
Head of service signoff		Date	